```
N661JAVC
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
3
      UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                                23 Cr. 251 (AKH)
                 V.
5
      CHARLIE JAVICE,
6
                     Defendant.
                                              Conference
 7
                                                New York, N.Y.
 8
                                                June 6, 2023
                                                10:41 a.m.
9
10
      Before:
11
                         HON. ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN,
12
                                                District Judge
13
                                 APPEARANCES
14
      DAMIAN WILLIAMS
15
           United States Attorney for the
           Southern District of New York
      BY: MICAH F. FERGENSON, ESQ.
16
           DINA McLEOD, ESQ.
17
           Assistant United States Attorney
      QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
18
           Attorneys for Defendant
           ALEX SPIRO, ESQ.
19
      BY:
           MAAREN A. SHAH, ESQ.
20
           SAMUEL P. NITZE, ESQ.
21
22
23
24
25
```

II N661JAVC

24

25

1	(Case called)
2	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your
3	appearances for the record.
4	MR. FERGENSON: Good morning, your Honor. Micah
5	Fergenson and Dina McLeod for the government.
6	THE COURT: The rule is you will address me seated but
7	take off your mask when you're speaking. Good morning,
8	Mr. Fergenson. Good morning, Ms. McLeod.
9	MR. SPIRO: Good morning, your Honor. This is Alex
10	Spiro. I'm joined by Maaren Shah and Sam Nitze on behalf of
11	our client, Charlie Javice.
12	THE COURT: And good morning, Ms. Javice.
13	THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Fergenson, tell me about the case.
15	MR. FERGENSON: Yes, your Honor.
16	So your Honor, it's a fraud case, as described in the
17	complaint, and the indictment. It concerns the defendant's
18	lies in connection with the sale of a company she founded named
19	Frank.
20	THE COURT: Frank?
21	MR. FERGENSON: Yes, your Honor. That was the name of
22	the company.
23	THE COURT: F-R-A-N-K?

THE COURT: What does that company do?

MR. FERGENSON: Yes.

MR. FERGENSON: Your Honor, it was a for-profit company that assisted students in financial aid for college or graduate school. In particular, it had a tool that helped them fill out a federal form called a FAFSA that allows students to apply for government aid.

THE COURT: Spell it.

MR. FERGENSON: F-A-F-S-A.

THE COURT: What were the nature of the lies?

MR. FERGENSON: The principal misrepresentation, your Honor, was that her company had acquired over 4 million customers, meaning prospective students who had signed up for an account on Frank's website and thereby provided certain data — first name, last name, email address, phone number. In reality, your Honor, Frank had, at most, less than 300,000 customers.

THE COURT: What was the materiality of that?

MR. FERGENSON: Your Honor, it was central to the sale of the company, and in fact, these misrepresentations were made to two banks. The ultimate winner, so to speak, of the acquisition was JPMorgan Chase, which paid \$175 million, and it paid that large sum, your Honor, because it believed that Frank had been an extremely successful startup in the student sector, which was particularly appealing to financial institutions, particularly ones that are trying to acquire new customers early in their life, just to put it colloquially, your Honor.

THE COURT: So in other words, the assets in the business — namely, the accounts of students — were something.

MR. FERGENSON: Correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: That is why JPMorgan was induced to pay a lot more for the company than it was worth.

MR. FERGENSON: Correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: So this is our first meeting, is it?

MR. FERGENSON: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: What kind of discovery do you have and what's the plan? Before you do that, is there a *Brady* order in the case?

MR. FERGENSON: There was, your Honor. The defendant was previously arraigned before the magistrate, who entered a Rule 5(f) order.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. FERGENSON: So the discovery — we actually handed the defense a hard drive today, containing all or, if not all, the vast majority of the Rule 16 discovery in our possession presently.

To give your Honor, you know, a couple reference points for the size of the discovery, the data is about 90 gigabytes, and to put it in a less technical way, it's about 106,000 files. This primarily consists of subpoena returns from about 30 different parties, third parties. It also includes three warrants related to cellphones. One of the

cellphone warrants was for the cellphone that Ms. Javice had on her at her arrest. We have not yet accessed the cellphone. We haven't cracked into the cellphone, your Honor. But once we do, we will provide a full copy to Ms. Javice.

THE COURT: What prevents you from getting in?

MR. FERGENSON: It is a — as your Honor may be familiar from other cases, unless the defendant provides the pass code, we have to use various forensic tools to break into the phone, to access its contents, and sometimes that can take many months.

THE COURT: But you can do it.

MR. FERGENSON: We can do it, your Honor. Often we can do it, but not always, I should say.

THE COURT: Have you asked Mr. Spiro if his client will consent? Is it worth saving time?

MR. FERGENSON: We have not, your Honor, but we would certainly be open to it.

THE COURT: Would you consider that, Mr. Spiro?

MR. SPIRO: They have not brought that to my attention, your Honor. I hear it now, and so I will take it under consideration and speak with the government. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FERGENSON: It also includes — just in terms of the warrants, your Honor, there was also an asset seizure warrant for bank accounts. And that's the extent of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

warrants in the case.

THE COURT: Apart from the cellphone, has all discovery been made?

MR. FERGENSON: Yes, your Honor, and as we continue to receive additional records, which we expect to, we will continue to produce those on a rolling basis.

THE COURT: Where would you expect to get other records from?

MR. FERGENSON: Your Honor, I can say that the government's investigation is ongoing, and as we receive additional materials, we will be providing them to the defense.

THE COURT: Do you expect to receive additional materials?

MR. FERGENSON: We do, your Honor.

THE COURT: By when should we all feel confident that you have produced everything you're going to produce?

MR. FERGENSON: I'm sorry, your Honor?

THE COURT: By when should we feel confident that you'll have produced everything you will be producing?

MR. FERGENSON: I can — I think I can fairly represent to your Honor that we have produced the vast bulk, if not all, of what we have currently in our possession. As we come into possession of additional materials, we'll promptly produce them.

THE COURT: You didn't hear me. By when do you

believe you will have produced everything you're going to produce?

Can you hear me?

MR. FERGENSON: Yes, your Honor. I apologize. I was just conferring with my co-counsel for a moment.

So to answer your question, I don't expect we would make anything comparable to the size of the production we made right now. And I don't mean to be evasive.

THE COURT: You can't give me a date.

MR. FERGENSON: Well, it's just often the case, your Honor, that we may receive additional returns to a subpoena -

THE COURT: Anything is possible. What's the likelihood?

MR. FERGENSON: I think, your Honor, within the next 45 days, we will produce any additional subpoena returns. I just don't want to mislead the Court or the defense. To the extent additional materials come in, we will provide them promptly.

THE COURT: I have to set a next date.

MR. FERGENSON: Yes, your Honor, and I'm happy to turn to that, but the government would respectfully request that the Court set a motions date. We discussed this with defense counsel.

THE COURT: They're not going to be able to make motions until you finish your production.

MR. FERGENSON: Well, your Honor, I think what we would propose and what defense has requested is that the Court set another conference in about 45 days. I would also advise the Court that the government's prepared to set a trial date today. We're available in October. The government's ready to try the case.

THE COURT: We'll set a trial date when we're all ready, then I'll set it quickly.

MR. FERGENSON: Understood, your Honor. In that event, your Honor, it might make sense to set a date for 45 days out to come back. That's what the defense requested.

THE COURT: How much do you need, Mr. Spiro?

MR. SPIRO: That's fine, your Honor. We had discussed — and obviously it depends on the Court's schedule. Some date in the middle of July, perhaps July 14th, but of course your Honor can pick the date.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: July 13th at 9:45.

MR. SPIRO: That's fine with the defense.

MR. FERGENSON: That's fine, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Motion?

MR. FERGENSON: Yes, your Honor. The government moves to exclude time so that the defense can review discovery and assess any potential motions.

THE COURT: Without objection?

MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, we state an objection as to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

any waiver of speedy trial at arraignments and we're not consenting to time in this case, and we defer to the Court's —

THE COURT: Take off your mask, please, Mr. Spiro.

MR. SPIRO: Yes. Your Honor, we did not waive speedy trial time or agree to any of the government's requests at arraignment, and we're maintaining that position, so when the Court says is that on consent, that's why I piped up.

MR. FERGENSON: Your Honor, we would then request to set a trial date in October.

THE COURT: How long will the trial take?

MR. FERGENSON: The government estimates eight to ten trial days, your Honor.

THE COURT: October 16th for trial.

MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, if I could be heard on that.

I was conferring with my client and counsel, and the defense would prefer, because we have a lot of investigation to do — we will waive time for this time period if the Court will allow us —

THE COURT: That's so nice of you, Mr. Spiro.

MR. SPIRO: And we're hoping that on the 45 days out, if the Court will keep the July 13th status date, we can then set a trial date where we can be sure that we can be ready and have time to check schedules and what have you.

THE COURT: Are you planning on filing motions?

MR. SPIRO: We will be filing motions. Of course

we've got to go through the discovery, and we just received it today.

THE COURT: So do you agree to exclude time until July 13th?

MR. SPIRO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So ordered. July 13, 9:45. I expect at that time, Mr. Spiro, that you will declare what motions you'll be making and you and the government will present me with a motion schedule —

MR. SPIRO: Understood, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: — briefing schedule. Okay. Thank you.

MR. FERGENSON: Thank you, your Honor.

13 000